From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: CARPMAELS & RANSFORD

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND

Attn. Tunstall, C.S. 43-45 Bloomsbury Subtre London WC1A 2RA UNITED KINGDOM CARPMAN, C.S. 1 2 SEP 2005	THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION				
CARPMAELS & RANSFORD	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 09/09/2005				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR EURTUER ACTION				
P037873WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/GB2005/002120	International filing date (day/month/year) 27/05/2005				
Applicant					
CILAG AG INTERNATIONAL					
1. The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimille No.: (41–22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.					
4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau international preparations for internation The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of international preliminary examination report has been or is to be at the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some examination must be filled if the applicant wishes to postpone the date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months months.	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international ureau as provided in Rules 90 bis.1 and 90 bis.3, respectively, nal publication. written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the f such comments to all designated Offices unless an established. These comments would also be made available to ority date. me designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed fices.				

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Vera Schertl

Authorized officer

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international pbulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been fis filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new:
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."

"Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."

- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	as well	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as, where applicable, item 5 below.
P037873WO International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
DGE (GDOOD (ODDOO			00/05/0004
PCT/GB2005/002120 Applicant	27/05/2005		28/05/2004
1	1"		
CILAG AG INTERNATIONAL			
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra			nority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists	of a total of sh	eets.	
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document	cited in this	report.
Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, unlike the second	international search was carried ou ess otherwise indicated under this	t on the bas tem.	sis of the international application in the
The international this Authority (Rul	search was carried out on the basis e 23.1(b)).	of a transl	ation of the international application furnished to
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence	e disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.
2. X Certain claims were foun	nd unsearchable (See Box II).		
3. Unity of invention is lack	king (see Box III).		
4. With regard to the title,			
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.		
the text has been establish	hed by this Authority to read as foll	ows:	
E With record to the above			
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as su	hmitted by the applicant		
	* ''	his Authori	ty as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
may, within one month fro	m the date of mailing of this interna	tional sear	ch report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings,			
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	ublished with the abstract is Figure	No1_	
as suggested by t	he applicant.		
	s Authority, because the applicant t	_	
	s Authority, because this figure bet	er characte	rizes the invention.
b none of the figures is to be	e published with the abstract.		

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/GB2005/002120

Box No. IV Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

The present invention concerns an injection device (110) with a housing (112) adapted to receive a syringe (114) having a discharge nozzle (118), the housing having an indicator opening (113). There is a trigger (111) and a forward drive (132) arranged to act upon the syringe on actuation of the trigger to advance the syringe form a retracted position to an extended position thereby discharging the contents of the syringe through the discharge nozzle. A support member (122) is in contacting juxtaposition with the housing and a return drive (126) is supported by the support member and arranged to act upon the syringe after the contents of the syringe has been discharged so that the syringe can be withdrawn from the extended position to the retracted position. Advantageously, the support member is arranged in the housing so that the second drive does not obscure an inspection of the contents of the syringe through the indicator opening. Hence, it can be clearly determined whether the contents of the syringe have been expelled from the syringe.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/GB2005/002120

a. classification of subject matter IPC 7 A61M5/20 A61M5/32					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national cla	ation and IPC				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification	on symbols)				
IPC 7 A61M					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	uch documents are included in the fields so	earched			
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base	se and, where practical, search terms used	1)			
EPO-Internal					
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category ° Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rele	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
X US 5 092 842 A (BECHTOLD ET AL) 3 March 1992 (1992-03-03) 50 lump 6 line 4 - line 24: figur	on 2-4	1–9			
X US 6 203 530 B1 (STEWART, SR. EDW 20 March 2001 (2001-03-20)	column 6, line 4 - line 24; figures 2-4 US 6 203 530 B1 (STEWART, SR. EDWARD) 20 March 2001 (2001-03-20) column 5, line 5 - line 14; figure 2				
X US 6 641 560 B1 (BECHTOLD HERBERT 4 November 2003 (2003-11-04) column 2, line 65 - line 67; figu	1-9				
X EP 1 228 777 A (B D MEDICO S.A.R. 7 August 2002 (2002-08-07) paragraph '0055!; figures 11a,11b	1-9				
A US 6 454 743 B1 (WEBER WILFRIED) 24 September 2002 (2002-09-24) abstract; figures		1			
Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed i	п аппех.			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not	'T' later document published after the inte or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the	the application but			
considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to					
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or					
other means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family					
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	rch report			
5 September 2005	09/09/2005				
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer				
NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Ehrsam, F				

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Lack of clarity due to the reference to the drawings

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

International application No. PCT/GB2005/002120

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
A. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT


Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/GB2005/002120

						01/ UDE	000, 002120
	atent document d in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US	5092842	Α	03-03-1992	DE AT DE DK WO	3715258 79283 3873764 554689 8808725	T D1 A	24-11-1988 15-08-1992 17-09-1992 07-11-1989 17-11-1988
				EP	0362222		11-04-1990
				ĴΡ	6002163		12-01-1994
				ĴΡ	2502971		20-09-1990
US	6203530	B1	20-03-2001	NONE			
US	6641560	B1	04-11-2003	DE	29822494		04-05-2000
				CA	2355617		22-06-2000
				DE	19959507		21-06-2000
				MO	0035516		22-06-2000
				EP	1140255		10-10-2001
····				JP 	2002532161	 	02-10-2002
EP	1228777	Α	07-08-2002	AT	252924		15-11-2003
				AT	225197		15-10-2002
				CA	2191472		07-12-1995
				DE	19519147		07-12-1995
				DE	29522418		08-05-2003
				DE De	59510404 50510017		07-11-2002
				DK	59510817 1228777		04-12-2003 01-03-2004
				DK	762904		28-10-2002
				WO	9532749		07-12-1995
				EP	1228777		07-08-2002
				ĒΡ	0762904		19-03-1997
				ËS	2204878		01-05-2004
				ES	2180642		16-02-2003
				JP	10504474	T	06-05-1998
				US	6241709		05-06-2001
				US	2002165500	A1	07-11-2002
US	6454743	B1	24-09-2002	DE	19819409		11-11-1999
				AT	222129		15-08-2002
				AU	741039		22-11-2001
				AU	4600499		23-11-1999
				CA	2326359		11-11-1999
				MO	9956805		11-11-1999
				DE DK	59902348 1075292		19-09-2002
				EP	1075292		16-12-2002 14-02-2001
				E\$	2182540		01-03-2003
				JP	2002513647		14-05-2002
				NO	20005326		23-10-2000
				PT	1075292		31-12-2002
					10/3222	1	31-17-1007

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2005/002120 27.05.2005 28.05.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61M5/20, A61M5/32 Applicant **CILAG AG INTERNATIONAL** 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Authorized Officer



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Ehrsam, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2343



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/002120

	Вох	No	o. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	With the	n re lanç	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
		lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ider Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.			gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. ty	pe	of material:		
			a sequence listing		
]	table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material:					
			in written format		
]	in computer readable form		
	c. tir	me	of filing/furnishing:		
		J	contained in the international application as filed.		
]	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.		
4.	Add	itio	nal comments:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/002120

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,						
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 10						
bed	because:						
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. see point VIII of the separate sheet relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	see separate sheet						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	☐ See separate sheet for further details						

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 092 842 (BECHTOLD ET AL) 3 March 1992 (1992-03-03)

D2: US-B1-6 203 530 (STEWART, SR. EDWARD) 20 March 2001 (2001-03-20)

D3: US-B1-6 641 560 (BECHTOLD HERBERT ET AL) 4 November 2003

D4: EP-A-1 228 777 (B D MEDICO S.A.R.L) 7 August 2002 (2002-08-07)

D5: US-B1-6 454 743 (WEBER WILFRIED) 24 September 2002 (2002-09-24)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-9 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses an injection device where the return drive is arranged in the housing so that it does not obscure an inspection of the content of the syringe through the indicator, see in particular col. 6, lines 4 to 24 and figures 2-4. The same objection applies to document D2, D3 and D4 see respectively the abstract and the figures.
- 2.2 It is not at present apparent which part of the application could serve as a basis for a new, allowable claim. Should the applicant nevertheless regard some particular matter as patentable an independent claim including such matter should be filed taking account of Rule 29(1) EPC.
 The applicant should also indicate in the letter of reply the difference of the subject-matter of the new claim vis-à-vis the state of the art and the significance thereof.

Re Item VII.

1. The description should have been brought into conformity with the new claims to be filed; care should be taken during revision, especially of the introductory portion including any statements of problem or advantage, not to add subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed (Art. 34 2) b)).

- 2. To meet the requirements of Rules 6 3 b) the independent claim should have been properly cast in a two part form, with those features which in combination are part of the nearest prior art being placed in the first part.
- 3. To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1 a vi, the cited documents should have been identified in the description and the relevant background art therein is to be indicated.
- 4. The features of the claims should have been provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

Re Item VIII.

- 1. Claim 10 is not clear since it makes reference to the drawings of the present application. Such a claim should be deleted to overcome the mentioned clarity objection.
- 2. Claim 1 is also unclear (Art. 6) since the sole feature of the characterizing portion is only considered to be a result to be achieved and the arrangement of the return drive could have been claimed by technical feature which are present in the description.